



Boletín Latinoamericano y del Caribe de  
Plantas Medicinales y Aromáticas

ISSN: 0717-7917

editor.blacpma@usach.cl

Universidad de Santiago de Chile  
Chile

VÁZQUEZ, Ana M.; AIMAR, Mario L.; DEMMEL, Gabriela I.; CRIADO, Silvia G.; RUIZ, Gustavo M.;  
CANTERO, Juan J.; ROSSI, Laura I.; VELASCO, Manuel I.

Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds of *Tagetes argentina* Cabrera (Asteraceae) using HS-  
SPME analysis

Boletín Latinoamericano y del Caribe de Plantas Medicinales y Aromáticas, vol. 10, núm. 5,  
septiembre, 2011, pp. 463-469

Universidad de Santiago de Chile  
Santiago, Chile

Disponible en: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=85622430008>

- Cómo citar el artículo
- Número completo
- Más información del artículo
- Página de la revista en redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Sistema de Información Científica

Red de Revistas Científicas de América Latina, el Caribe, España y Portugal

Proyecto académico sin fines de lucro, desarrollado bajo la iniciativa de acceso abierto



## Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds of *Tagetes argentina* Cabrera (Asteraceae) using HS-SPME analysis

[Determinación de Compuestos Orgánicos Volátiles de *Tagetes argentina* Cabrera (Asteraceae) utilizando análisis por HS-SPME]

Ana M. VÁZQUEZ<sup>1</sup>, Mario L. AIMAR<sup>2</sup>, Gabriela I. DEMMEL<sup>1</sup>, Silvia G. CRIADO<sup>1</sup>, Gustavo M. RUIZ<sup>3</sup>, Juan J. CANTERO<sup>4</sup>,  
Laura I. ROSSI<sup>5</sup> & Manuel I. VELASCO<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad Católica de Córdoba, Córdoba, Argentina.

<sup>2</sup>Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Córdoba, Argentina.

<sup>3</sup>Herbario Marcelino Sayago, Facultad de Ciencias Agropecuarias, Universidad Católica de Córdoba,

<sup>4</sup>Departamento de Biología Agrícola/Facultad de Agronomía y Veterinaria, Universidad Nacional de Río Cuarto, Río Cuarto, Córdoba, Argentina.

<sup>5</sup>Departamento de Química Orgánica, Instituto de Investigaciones en Físicoquímica de Córdoba (INFIQC), Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina.

Contactos / Contacts: Ana M. VÁZQUEZ E-mail address: [ana\\_vazquez10@yahoo.com.ar](mailto:ana_vazquez10@yahoo.com.ar)

### Abstract

A headspace solid-phase microextraction (HS-SPME) method followed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and gas chromatography-flame ionization detection (GC-FID) is described for the analysis of volatile compounds in *Tagetes argentina* Cabrera. The composition of the totality of the aerial parts of the plant (whole plant) and the inflorescences, leaves and stems was studied separately using HS-SPME. As a result, 53 compounds were determined, of which 39 were identified. The major components were: *cis*-tagetone, *trans*-tagetone, *trans*-tagetone and *cis*-tagetone. Using a much smaller amount of samples, a shorter extraction time and a very simple procedure, the HS-SPME method can achieve similar or better results than those obtained by EO analysis. In conclusion, the HS-SPME method is simple, rapid, effective and free of solvent, and can be used for the analysis of volatile compounds in samples of different populations of *T. argentina*.

**Keywords:** HS-SPME; *Tagetes argentina* Cabrera; volatile organic compounds.

### Resumen

Se realizó el análisis de los componentes volátiles de *T. argentina* Cabrera utilizando el método de micro-extracción en fase sólida del espacio de cabeza con análisis posterior por cromatografía de gases acoplada a espectrometría de masas y por cromatografía de gases con detección por ionización de llama. Se estudio la composición de la totalidad de las partes aéreas de la planta (planta entera) como así también de las inflorescencias, hojas y tallos por separado empleando el método de HS-SPME. Como resultado, se determinaron 53 compuestos de los cuales 39 fueron identificados. Los componentes mayoritarios fueron: *cis*-tagetona, *trans*-tagetona, *trans*-tagetona and *cis*-tagetona. Utilizando una muy pequeña cantidad de muestra, un corto periodo de tiempo y un procedimiento muy simple se lograron similares o mejores resultados a aquellos reportados mediante el análisis del aceite esencial. En conclusión, el método de HS-SPME es simple, rápido, efectivo, libre de la utilización de solventes y puede ser fácilmente implementado para el análisis de componentes volátiles provenientes de muestras de diferentes poblaciones de *T. argentina*.

**Palabras Clave:** HS-SPME; *Tagetes argentina* Cabrera; compuestos orgánicos volátiles.

Recibido | Received: July 6, 2011.

Aceptado en versión corregida | Accepted in revised form: August 18, 2011.

Publicado en línea | Published online: September 30, 2011.

Declaración de intereses | Declaration of interests: AM Vazquez gratefully acknowledges financial support from the Catholic University of Córdoba. LI Rossi and MI Velasco gratefully acknowledge financial support from CONICET, FONCYT and the Secretaría de Ciencia y Tecnología de la Universidad Nacional de Córdoba (SECYT-UNC).

Este artículo puede ser citado como / This article must be cited as: Ana M. Vazquez, Mario L. Aimar, Gabriela I. Demmel, Silvia G. Criado, Gustavo M. Ruiz, Juan J. Cantero, Laura I. Rossi, Manuel I. Velasco. 2011. Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds of *Tagetes argentina* Cabrera (Asteraceae) using HS-SPME analysis. Bol Latinoam Caribe Plant Med Aromat 10(5): 463 – 469.

**List of Abbreviations:** *T. argentina* – *Tagetes argentina* Cabrera.; EO – essential oil; HS-SPME – Solid Fase Micro Extraction of Headspace; GC – Gas Chromatography; MS – Mass Spectrometry; FID – Flame ionization Detector; Rt – Retention time; PDMS – polydimethylsiloxano; CAR – carboxene; DVB – divinylbencene.

## INTRODUCTION

In Argentina, the genus *Tagetes* (Asteraceae) is represented by 12 species, of which 5 are endemic (Ariza Espinar, 1967; Barboza *et al.*, 2006). *Tagetes argentina* Cabrera is one of three species found in the province of Córdoba, growing wild in the mountainous area at an altitude between 1000 at 2500 meters above sea level (Katinas and Ariza Espinar, 1999). Commonly known as "Chil chil del campo" or "Chinchigua", this plant is native and is found mainly in the mountains of Cordoba and San Luis. However, it has also been reported in areas northwest of Mendoza (Ariza Espinar, 1967). It frequently occurs in wet places and as a weed among crops (Gutiérrez and Katinas, 2003) and it has been used as a diuretic and digestive aid in folk medicine (Barboza *et al.*, 2006).

The chemical composition of *T. argentina* essential oils has been previously studied (Zygadlo *et al.*, 1993a, 1993b) and the main constituents identified were *cis*-tagetenone (43.62-45.59%) and *trans*-tagetenone (37.29-40.38%). Lesser amounts of *cis*- $\beta$ -ocimene (1.46-1.49%), *trans*- $\beta$ -ocimene (2.00-7.26%), dihydrotagetone (3.82-6.57%), *cis*-tagetone (1.29-1.77%) and *trans*-tagetone (1.30-1.87%) were also found in this oil.

Although hydrodistillation is the most common extraction technique employed to obtain essential oils from aromatic plants (Saroglou *et al.*, 2006, Magwa *et al.*, 2006, Becerra *et al.*, 2010; Moreno *et al.*, 2010; Urzua *et al.*, 2010; Buitrago *et al.*, 2011), it is a laborious and time-consuming process that requires large amounts of samples. Moreover, when investigators extract essential oils from a plant matrix for analysis, little attention is paid to the possibility that the extraction methods may yield different essential oil profiles (Babu and Kaul, 2007). For this reason, the final composition of the product may not be representative of the original material (Babu *et al.*, 2002; 2004; 2005). Thus, it is important that researchers explore the various advantages and disadvantages of a given extraction or instrumental technique before carrying out an analysis.

Solid-phase microextraction (SPME) was introduced by Pawliszyn and co-workers (Arthur, 1990), with this technique proving increasingly useful

in organic analytical chemistry due to it being a rapid procedure of extraction without the requirement of any organic solvent (Cai *et al.*, 2006; Vas and Vékey, 2004), and having the advantage of minimizing the sample handling and consequently decreasing the loss of volatile compounds. Furthermore, it is a simple and fast modern tool which has been previously used to characterize the volatile fraction of aromatic and medicinal plants (Marriott *et al.*, 2001; Smith, 2003). This technique, therefore offers a valid alternative to hydrodistillation for gas chromatographic analysis of volatile constituents from different sources.

To our knowledge, there are no reports in the literature about HS-SPME analysis of the volatile constituents of whole plants of *T. argentina* or their aerial parts separately. For this reason, the present study describes both qualitative and semi-quantitative determinations of volatile compounds of *T. argentina* using the analytical techniques HS-SPME/GC-MS and HS-SPME/GC-FID. Additionally, a comparison is made between the results obtained by HS-SPME and those reported in the literature for essential oil analyses.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Plant Samples

Several specimens of *T. argentina* Cabrera in the process of flowering-fruiting were collected between March and April 2010 in the Sierras Grandes de Córdoba, Argentina. A whole plant has been deposited in the Herbarium Marcelino Sayago (Register Number UCCOR 336d), Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, Catholic University of Córdoba.

To perform the analysis of HS-SPME/GC-FID and HS-SPME/GC-MS, samples ( $100.0 \pm 0.1$  mg) of fresh aerial parts previously chopped up were placed in glass vials of 20 cm<sup>3</sup>. These were then sealed with Viton septa and aluminum seals provided by Supelco (Sigma-Aldrich, Argentina).

### Selection of extraction fiber and HS-SPME optimization

As a part of a major program on the study of the flora in the Province of Córdoba, a project was commenced with the aim of characterize the composition of volatile fraction of the different species of *Tagetes* that grown in our Province.

In this sense, our team developed an analytical methodology using HS-SPME/GC-MS and HS-SPME/GC-FID to determine the composition of the

volatile organic compounds present in *Tagetes minuta* L. (Vázquez *et al.*, 2011). That study determined that the best conditions of work were achieved using a fiber of DVB-CAR-PDMS of 50/30  $\mu\text{m}$  (Sigma-Aldrich of Argentina). Therefore, due to the great similarity in the composition of the main volatile organic components present in *T. minuta* and *T. argentina* (Zygadlo *et al.*, 1993b), it was decided in the present study to use the same working conditions we previously used with *T. minuta* to carry out this study to determine the volatile organic compounds present in *T. argentina*.

Using a manual holder (Supelco), the fiber was first conditioned in a GC injector at 225° C for 8 hours. Then, the vials containing the samples were immersed 10 mm in a thermostatic water bath at 40° C (PolyScience 8005, accuracy  $\pm 0.2^\circ\text{C}$ ). After 10 min, an SPME device was inserted into the sealed vial by manually penetrating the septum, and the fiber was exposed to the plant material headspace for 30 min. After extraction, the needle on the SPME manual holder was set to its maximum length in the GC injector, and the fiber was directly exposed to the hot injector at 250° C for 5 min in splitless mode

#### Gas Chromatography (GC)

Analyses were performed using a gas chromatograph Shimadzu GC14B, equipped with a flame ionization detector, a manual injection port operating in a splitless mode and a ZB-5 capillary column (30 m x 0.25 mm ID x 0.25  $\mu\text{m}$  film). The working conditions were: injector: 225° C; initial temperature: 40° C (5 min); final temperature: 200° C (5 min); heating rate: 5° C / min; detector: 230° C; carrier gas: N<sub>2</sub> 99.99% and head pressure: 5 psi, and the percentage composition was established by normalizing the peak area of the chromatogram with respect to the total area. All determinations were performed in triplicate and the variation coefficient was less than 5%.

#### Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry

The identification of the volatile components was performed using a gas chromatograph HP 5890 Series II equipped with a manual injection port operating in a splitless mode. This was coupled to an HP 5970 Mass Detector and the column used was an HP-5 capillary column (30 m x 0.25 mm ID x 0.25  $\mu\text{m}$  film). The working conditions were: injector: 225° C; initial temperature: 40° C (5 min); final temperature: 200° C (5 min); heating rate: 5° C/min; interface: 230° C, gas carrier: He 99.99%; head pressure: 5 psi. The mass

spectrometer was operated at 70 eV and the spectra were recorded in the range of m/z 25-550 amu in the acquisition mode "scan-full." The data processing system used was the HP-MS ChemStation, including database Wiley 275 and NIST. The volatile components were identified by comparing their mass spectra with library data and by the determination of the respective Kovat's retention indices (KI), (alkane standards provided by Sigma-Aldrich). The Retention indices were compared with those reported in the databases (NIST, 2010; Pherobase, 2010).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### HS-SPME of combined aerial parts of the whole plant

As can be seen in Table 1, the existence of 41 different components in the volatile fraction of *T. argentina* were established, 32 of which were successfully identified (78%). Thus, positive identification was achieved in 99.1% of the total area observed in the chromatogram.

Table 1 summarizes the main components provided by the HS-SPME analysis of the aerial parts of the whole plant, with *cis*-tagetone (17.9 %) and *trans*-tagetone (28.8%) being found at the greatest proportions. In addition, there were also appreciable amounts of: *trans*-tagetone (11.8%), *cis*-tagetone (9.4%), dihydrotagetone (6.4%), bicyclogermacrene (3.0%), *trans*- $\beta$ -ocimene (2.6%) and germacrene D (2.5%),  $\beta$ -caryophyllene (2.3%). The presence of p-cymen-8-ol (1.7%), piperitone (1.3%), verbenone (1.1%), aromadendrene (1.0%) and calarene (1.0%) was also determined, but to a lesser degree. The rest of the observed components were present at amounts ranging from 0.9% (limonene) to 0.1% (for example terpineol, among others).

### HS-SPME of inflorescences

As can be seen in Table 1, the existence of 39 different components in the volatile fraction of the inflorescence of *T. argentina* were established, 37 of which were successfully identified (82.1%). Thus, positive identification was achieved in 99.5% of the total area observed in the chromatogram.

*Cis*-tagetone (29.6%) and *trans*-tagetone (21.1%) were found to be the major components by the results obtained on inflorescences (Table 1). Additionally, the presence of appreciable amounts of *cis*-tagetone (10.1%), *trans*-tagetone (8.8%), dihydrotagetone (5.2%), *trans*- $\beta$ -ocimene (3.0%), bicyclogermacrene (2.9%), germacrene D (2.4%),  $\beta$ -caryophyllene (2.1%), p-cymen-8-ol (2.0%),  $\beta$ -thujone

(1.1%) and  $\delta$ - elemene (1.1%) were determined. At smaller proportions, piperitone (1.6%), verbeneone (1.3%), aromadendrene (1.1%) and anisole (1.1%) were also found. The rest of the observed components were present at amounts ranging from 0.9% (calarene) to 0.1% (for example spathulenol, among others).

#### **HS-SPME of leaves**

As can be seen in Table 1, the existence of 38 different components in the volatile fraction of leaves of *T. argentina* were established, 33 of which were successfully identified (86.9%). Thus, positive identification was achieved in 99.7% of the total area observed in the chromatogram.

From measurements made on leaves of *T. argentina* (Table 1), the major components were *cis*-tagetone (33.3%) and *trans*-tagetone (14.4%). Lower proportions were observed of 4-terpineol (10.0%), *trans*-tagetone (7.7%), *cis*-tagetone (7.2%), carvone (6.0%), dihydrotagetone (2.8%), p-cymen-8-ol (1.5%), aromadendrene (1.5%), germacrene D (1.2%), bicyclgermacrene (1.2%) and verbenone (1.1%). The rest of the components were present at amounts ranging from 0.9% (for example  $\alpha$ -amorphene) to 0.1% (for example methyleugenol, among others).

#### **HS-SPME of stems**

As can be seen in Table 1, the existence of 25 different components in the volatile fraction of the leaves of *T. argentina* were established, 21 of which were successfully identified (84.0). Thus, positive identification was achieved in 99.0% of the total area observed in the chromatogram.

In stems of *T. argentina* (Table 1), dihydrotagetone (29.6%) and  $\beta$ -caryophyllene (13.5%) were observed to be the main components. At smaller proportion: *cis*-tagetone (8.2%), *trans*-tagetone (7.9%), calarene (5.6%), bicyclgermacrene (5.4%), germacrene D (4.5%), *cis*-tagetone (4.1%), *trans*- $\beta$ -ocimene (3.3%), *allo*-cimene (3.1%), *trans*-tagetone (2.8%) and  $\delta$ -cadinene (1.8%) were found. The rest of the observed components were present at amounts ranging from 0.9% ( $\gamma$ -cadinene) to 0.3% (*cis*- $\beta$ -ocimene).

#### **Differences between the composition of inflorescences, stems and leaves**

The data summarized in Table 1 show some interesting differences between the results of the HS-SPME analysis of inflorescences, stems and leaves of *T. argentina*:

1) There was a significant difference in the number of compounds produced by each part of the plant. Both the inflorescences and the leaves were responsible for the greatest number of volatile organic compounds (39 and 38 respectively), while the stems contribute a smaller number (only 25 compounds).

2) The main components *cis*- and *trans*-tagetone were found at greater proportions in inflorescences and leaves than in stems. However, the stems were the main source of dihydrotagetone and  $\beta$ -caryophyllene.

3) Both *cis*- and *trans*-tagetone occurred at approximately the same concentrations in inflorescences, stems and leaves.

4)  $\beta$ -thujone,  $\beta$ -cadinene and neophytadiene were minor compounds and were only present in inflorescences. Moreover, p-cymene, 4-terpineol, *cis*-carvone, germacrene B and guaial were exclusive components of the leaves whereas *cis*- $\beta$ -ocimene was only observed in the stems.

#### **HS-SPME analysis vs. essential oil analysis**

In data reported in the literature (Zygodlo *et al.*, 1993a), the components present in the essential oil were eleven, two of which were identified at trace level. However, using the HS-SPME analysis in the same previously established conditions, 41 components were measured on the aerial parts of the whole plant.

Comparing the results obtained for essential oil analysis reported in the literature and the HS-SPME data obtained here, revealed the majority of components to be the same. However, HS-SPME analyses showed a better characterization of the volatile organic compounds present in *T. argentina* than the analysis of essential oils, because it was possible to identify a greater number of minority components that perhaps could not be observed by essential oil analysis as they are lost during the hydrodistillation process.

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

A simple, rapid and solvent-free technique to determine the volatile components in *T. argentina* plants using the HS-SPME/GC-MS and GC-FID methods was established. Using a smaller amount of samples, a shorter extraction time and a much simpler procedure, the HS-SPME method can achieve at least comparable and often better results than those obtained by essential oil analysis.

The method developed provides a fast and easy characterization of the volatile compound profiles, which can therefore be used in further studies

aimed at characterizing different populations of *T. argentina* by HS-SPME analyses.

**Table 1**  
Volatile compounds observed in *Tagetes argentina* Cabrera.

Peak	Rt <sup>a</sup> (min)	Compound <sup>b</sup>	% <sup>c</sup>			W. P. <sup>i</sup>	KI <sub>e</sub> <sup>d</sup>	KI <sub>r</sub> <sup>e</sup>
			I. <sup>f</sup>	L. <sup>g</sup>	S. <sup>h</sup>			
1	14.91	<i>p</i> -cymene	-	0.8	-	-	1025	1025
2	15.16	limonene	0.5	0.6	-	0.9	1032	1033
3	15.63	dihydrotagetone	5.2	2.8	29.6	6.4	1045	1047
4	15.92	<i>cis</i> - $\beta$ -ocimene	-	-	0.3	-	1053	1054
5	16.13	<i>trans</i> - $\beta$ -ocimene	3.0	0.6	3.3	2.6	1059	1058
6	16.96	$\beta$ -thujone	0.3	-	-	-	1082	1081
7	17.28	isoterpinolene	0.5	0.4	-	0.8	1091	1091
8	17.38	$\alpha$ -pyridone	0.6	0.3	-	0.8	1094	1094
9	18.22	chrysanthenone	0.3	0.3	-	0.5	1119	1121
10	18.71	<i>allo</i> -ocimene	0.8	0.6	3.1	1.1	1134	1132
11	19.23	<i>trans</i> -tagetone	8.8	7.7	7.9	11.8	1150	1149
12	19.54	<i>cis</i> -tagetone	10.1	7.2	8.2	9.4	1159	1156
13	19.94	<i>p</i> -cymen-8-ol	2.0	1.5	-	1.7	1172	1172
14	20.06	Unknown	-	-	-	0.1	1176	
15	20.38	4-terpineol	-	10.1	-	0.1	1185	1182
16	20.81	carvone	0.2	6.0	-	0.3	1198	1200
17	21.24	verbenone	1.3	1.1	-	1.1	1213	1218
18	21.34	Unknown	0.1	-	-	0.1	1216	
19	22.00	<i>cis</i> -tagetenone	29.6	33.3	4.1	17.9	1238	1232
20	22.46	<i>trans</i> -tagetenone	21.1	14.4	2.8	28.8	1253	1252
21	22.77	Unknown	0.1	-	-	0.1	1263	
22	22.91	anisole	1.1	0.4	-	0.7	1268	1265
23	23.44	piperitone	1.6	1.3	-	1.3	1285	1282
24	23.71	Unknown	-	0.1	-	-	1294	
25	24.74	Unknown	0.2	-	-	0.1	1328	
26	25.16	methyleugenol	0.4	0.2	0.7	0.5	1345	1348
27	25.38	$\delta$ -elemene	0.4	0.2	1.6	0.4	1352	1353
28	25.80	<i>cis</i> -carvone oxide	-	0.8	-	-	1367	1366
29	26.27	Unknown	0.1	-	0.3	0.1	1384	
30	26.47	Unknown	0.3	-	0.4	0.1	1391	
31	26.77	$\beta$ -longipinene	0.6	0.4	-	0.6	1402	1403
32	27.56	$\beta$ -caryophyllene	2.1	0.5	13.5	2.3	1432	1430
33	27.75	Unknown	0.2	-	0.3	0.1	1439	
34	27.94	Unknown	0.1	-	-	0.1	1446	
35	28.07	aromadendrene	1.1	1.5	2.4	1.0	1451	1452
36	28.15	Unknown	-	-	-	0.1	1454	
37	28.46	calarene	0.9	-	5.6	1.0	1465	1467
38	29.04	$\alpha$ -amorphene	0.3	0.9	0.8	0.3	1484	1485
39	29.03	Unknown	-	0.2	-	-	1487	
40	29.11	Unknown	-	0.1	-	-	1490	
41	29.22	germacrene D	2.4	1.2	4.5	2.5	1494	1492
42	29.38	<i>trans</i> - $\alpha$ -farnesene	0.1	0.6	-	0.1	1499	1500
43	29.63	bicyclogermacrene	2.9	1.2	5.4	3.0	1510	1511
44	29.80	$\beta$ -cadinene	0.1	-	-	0.1	1517	1520

45	30.01	$\gamma$ -cadinene	0.3	0.4	0.9	0.2	1525	1525
46	30.22	$\delta$ -cadinene	0.5	0.5	1.8	0.6	1533	1533
47	30.59	spathulenol	0.1	-	0.4	0.1	1548	1549
48	30.99	germacrene B	-	0.2	-	-	1564	1563
49	31.77	guaiol	-	0.5	-	-	1595	1598
50	35.78	tetradecanoic acid	-	-	0.4	-	1767	1765
51	37.43	neophytadiene	0.2	0.3	0.9	0.3	1839	1837
52	38.42	Unknown	-	0.1	-	-	1881	
53	40.85	Unknown	-	0.9	0.9	-	1968	
Total			100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
% Compounds Identified			82.1	86.8	84.0	78.0		
% Area Identified			99.5	99.7	99.0	99.1		

<sup>a</sup> Rt: retention time; <sup>b</sup> Identified by GC-MS; <sup>c</sup> Quantified by GC-FID; <sup>d</sup> Experimental Kovat's Retention Index; <sup>e</sup> Kovat's Retention Index from the Literature; <sup>f</sup> Inflorescences; <sup>g</sup> Leaves; <sup>h</sup> Stems; <sup>i</sup> Whole Plant.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

AM Vazquez gratefully acknowledges financial support from the Catholic University of Cordoba. LI Rossi and MI Velasco gratefully acknowledge financial support from CONICET, FONCyT and the Secretaria de Ciencia y Tecnología de la Universidad Nacional de Córdoba (SECyT-UNC). We thank Dr Paul Hobson, native speaker, for revision of the manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- Ariza Espinar LA. 1967. Las especias de *Tagetes* (Compositae) de la región central Argentina. **Kurtziana** 4: 51 - 71.
- Arthur CL, Pawliszyn J. 1990. Solid phase microextraction with thermal desorption using fused silica optical fibers. **Anal Chem** 62: 2145 - 2148.
- Babu GDK, Singh B, Joshi VP, Singh, V. 2002. Essential oil composition of Damask rose (*Rosa damascena* Mill) distilled under different pressures and temperatures. **Flav Frag J** 17: 136 - 140.
- Babu GDK, Ahuja PS, Kaul VK, Singh V. 2004. Portable distillation apparatus for essential oils and hydrosols preparation. **Bulgarian Patent** No. 64393B1, December 31.
- Babu GDK, Ahuja PS, Kaul VK, Singh V. 2005. Simple, portable mini distillation apparatus for the production of essential oils and hydrosols. **US Patent** No. 6,911,119B2. CSIR, June 28.
- Babu KGD, Kaul VK. 2007. Variations in quantitative and qualitative characteristics of wild marigold (*Tagetes minuta* L.) oils distilled under vacuum and at NTP. **Ind Crops Prod** 26: 241 - 250.
- Barboza GE, Cantero JJ, Núñez CO, Ariza Espinar L. 2006. **Flora Medicinal de la Provincia de Córdoba (Argentina): Pteridófitas y Antofitas silvestres o naturalizadas**. First Edition. Museo Botánico Córdoba, Argentina.
- Becerra J, Bittner M, Hernández V, Brintrup C, Becerra J, Silva M. 2010. Actividad de aceites esenciales de canelo, queule, bailahuen y culén frente a hongos fitopatogénos. **Bol Latinoam Caribe Plant Med Aromat** 9: 212 - 215.
- Buitrago Díaz A, Rojas Vera J, Rojas Fermín, L, Morale Mendez A, Aparicio Zambrano R, Rodríguez Contreras L. 2011. Composition of the essential oil leaves and roots of *Allium schoenoprasum* L. (Alliaceae). **Bol Latinoam Caribe Plant Med Aromat** 10: 218 - 221.
- Cai LS, Gong SL, Chen M, Wu CY. 2006. Vinyl crown ether as a novel radical crosslinked sol-gel SPME fiber for determination of organophosphorus pesticides in food samples. **Anal Chim Acta** 559: 89 - 96.
- Diaz P, Senorans FJ, Reglero G, Ibanez E. 2002. Truffle aroma analysis by headspace-solid phase micro-extraction. **J Agric Food Chem** 50: 6468 - 5472.
- Gutiérrez DG, Katinas L. 2003. Novedades sobre la flora de San Juan II. Nuevas citas de Asteraceae, tribu Helenieae. **Hickenia** 3(45): 177-188.

- Katinas L, Ariza Espinar L. 1999. Tribu Helenieae, en FO Zuloaga & O Morrone (eds.). Catálogo de las Plantas Vasculares de la República Argentina II. Dicotyledoneae. **Monogr Syst Bot Missouri Bot Gard** 74: 1 - 1269.
- Magwa ML, Gundidza M, Gweru N, Humphrey G. 2006. Chemical composition and biological activities of essential oil from the leaves of *Sesuvium portulacastrum*. **J Ethnopharmacol** 103: 85 - 89.
- Marriott PJ, Shellie R, Cornwell C. 2001. Gas chromatographic technologies for the analysis of essential oils. **J Chromatogr A** 936: 1 - 22.
- Mejías RC, Marín RN, Moreno MVG, Barroso CG. 2002. Optimisation of headspace solid-phase microextraction for analysis of aromatic compounds in vinegar. **J Chromatogr A** 953: 7 - 15.
- Moreno J, Rojas LB, Aparicio R, Marcó L-M, Usabillaga A. 2010. Chemical composition of the essential oil from the bark *Bursera tomaentosa* (Jacq) Tr & Planch. **Bol Latinoam Caribe Plant Med Aromat** 9: 491 - 494.
- NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology). 2010. <http://webbook.nist.gov/chemistry/>
- Pherobase. 2010. <http://www.pherobase.com/database/kovats/kovats-index.php>
- Saroglou V, Dorizas N, Kypriotakis Z, Skaltsa HD. 2006. Analysis of the essential oil composition of eight *Anthemis* species from Greece. **J Chromatogr A** 1104: 313 - 322.
- Smith RM. 2003. Before the injection—modern methods of sample preparation for separation techniques. **J Chromatogr A** 1000: 3 - 27.
- Vas G, Vekey K, 2004. Solid-phase microextraction: a powerful sample preparation tool prior to mass spectrometric analysis. **J Mass Spectrom** 39: 233 - 254.
- Urzúa A, Santander R, Echeverría J, Villalobos C, Palacios SM, Rossi Y. 2010. Insecticidal properties of *Peumus boldus* Mol. Essential oil on the house fly, *Musca domestica* L. **Bol Latinoam Caribe Plant Med Aromat** 9: 465 - 469.
- Vazquez AM, Demmel GI, Criado SG, Aimar ML, Cantero JJ, Rossi LI, Velasco MI. 2011. Phytochemistry of *Tagetes minuta* L. (Asteraceae) from Córdoba Argentina: comparative study of essential oil and HS-SPME analysis. **Bol Latinoam Caribe Plant Med Arom** 10: 351 - 362.
- Zygadlo JA, Maestri DM, Ariza Espinar L. 1993a. The volatile oil of *Tagetes argentina* Cabrera. **J Essent Oil Res** 5: 85 - 86.
- Zygadlo JA, Lamarque AL, Maestri DM, Guzman CA, Grosso NR. 1993b. Composition of the inflorescence oils of some *Tagetes* species from Argentina. **J Essent Oil Res** 5: 679 - 681.